11W AF (09-04) 2.137

PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ion of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. work Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to **Application Number** 09/478,254 RANSMITTAL Filing Date January 6, 2000 First Named Inventor **FORM** Zuccherato Art Unit 2137 **Examiner Name** Matthew Smithers (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number

Total Number	r of Pages in	This Submission		//////	icy bocket Hame	0500.9	90616	52	
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)									
Amend Extensi Express Informa Certifier Docume Reply to Incomp	Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Add Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD Remarks			cation ce Address	After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): -return postcard		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT									
Firm Name	Vedde	er, Price, Kaufn			•	,			
Signature /// - / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /									
Printed name Christopher J. Reckamp									
Date March 25, 2005						Reg. No.	34,414		
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING									
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:									
Signature Christine a Whight									
Typed or printed name Chris		Christine A. W	/right		Ü			Date	March 25, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



PATENT APPLICATION

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Zuccherato et al.

Examiner:

Matthew Smithers

Serial No.: Filing Date:

Mail Stop AF

P. O. Box 1450

09/478,254

Art Group:

2137 Our File No.: 10500.00.6162

Confirmation No.:

Commissioner for Patents

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

January 6, 2000 1450

Docket No.:

0500.9906162

Title: SHARED DATA INITIALIZATION QUERY SYSTEM AND METHOD

Certificate of First Class Mailing

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA, 22313-1450, on

this date.

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

In response to the final office action mailed December 28, 2004, Applicants submit the following remarks.

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully traverse and request reconsideration.

Applicants attorney wishes to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended during the telephone conferences regarding the final action. The Examiner indicated that the reasoning given in the "Response to Arguments" section should be the reasons for rejection to be addressed by Applicants.

Applicants also wish to thank the Examiner for the notice that claims 2, 7, 8, 12 and 14 are objected to but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.